1	Joseph Lavi, Esq. (State Bar No. 209776)		
2	jlavi@lelawfirm.com Jordan D. Bello, Esq. (State Bar No. 243190)		
3	jbello@lelawfirm.com LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP		
4	8889 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 200 Beverly Hills, California 90211		
5	Telephone: (310) 432-0000 Facsimile: (310) 432-0001		
6	Sahag Majarian II, Esq. (State Bar No. 146621)		
7	sahagii@aol.com  Law Offices of Sahag Majarian II		
8	18250 Ventura Boulevard Tarzana, California 91356		
9	Telephone: (818) 609-0807 Facsimile: (818) 609-0892		
10	Attorneys for PLAINTIFF ERNEST CUADRA on behalf of himself and othe	***	
11	similarly situated.		
12	Counsel information continues in next page		
13			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	ERNEST CUADRA on behalf of himself and	C N 2.20 02000 PC	
17	others similarly situated.	Case No.: 3:20-cv-02089-RS	
18	PLAINTIFF,	JOINT REPORT RE DISCUSSING PROSPECT OF CASE RESOLUTION	
19	vs.		
20	FEDEX GROUND PACKAGE SYSTEM, INC., et al.,	Before Hon. Richard Seeborg, United States District Judge	
21	DEFENDANTS.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	JOINT REPORT RE DISCUSSING PE	ROSPECT OF CASE RESOLUTION;	

1 2 3 4 5	EVAN R. MOSES, CA Bar No. 198099 evan.moses@ogletree.com ALEXANDER M. CHEMERS, CA Bar No. 263726 alexander.chemers@ogletree.com MELIS ATALAY, CA Bar No. 301373 melis.atalay@ogletree.com OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C. 400 South Hope Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telanharan 213 230 0000
7	Telephone: 213-239-9800 Facsimile: 213-239-9045
8	Attorneys for Defendant FEDEX GROUND PACKAGE SYSTEM, INC.
9	,
10 11	
12	
13	
13	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	JOINT REPORT RE DISCUSSING PROSPECT OF CASE RESOLUTION;

1	Pursuant to the Court's Order instructing all civil litigants to engage in an additional meet and		
2	confer to discuss the possibility of settlement, the parties submit this joint report. (ECF No. 16.) The		
3	parties engaged in a meet and confer on June 4, 2020 to discuss the possibility of settlement. The		
4	result of the meet and confer was as follows:		
5	The case settled, and the parties will submit a filing to this effect within the next 30 days		
6	Although the case did not settle, the parties made meaningful progress toward settlemen		
7	and therefore request the opportunity to engage in additional ADR. Specifically, the parties		
8	request the following:		
9	$\sqrt{}$ Despite a good-faith effort to reach a settlement at the meet and confer, the case did no		
10	o settle.		
11	1		
12	2		
13	3 Dated: June 17, 2020 LAVI & 1	EBRAHIMIAN, LLP	
14		Jordan D. Bello	
15	5 Jos	Joseph Lavi, Esq.	
16	6 At	dan D. Bello, Esq. torneys for PLAINTIFF	
17	7 EF	RNEST CUADRA	
18		REE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK &	
19			
20			
21		Melis Atalay an R. Moses, Esq.	
22		exander M. Chemers, Esq. elis Atalay, Esq.	
23	3 At	torneys for DEFENDANT	
24	4 IN	DEX GROUND PACKAGE SYSTEM, C.	
25	5	43171167.1	
26	6		
27	7		

28